ACTIVE

CATEGORY: PRINTING PROCESS

BACT Size: Minor Source BACT **PRINTING PRESS**

BACT Determination Number: 138 **BACT Determination Date:** 1/13/2017

Equipment Information

Permit Number: N/A -- Generic BACT Determination

PRINTING PRESS Equipment Description:

Unit Size/Rating/Capacity: Non-Heatset Lithographic Offset

Equipment Location:

BACT Determination Information

ROCs	Standard:	See Comments Section Below
Koos	Technology Description:	VOC control device that has an overall system efficiency (collection and destruction) of at least 98.5% for VOC and/or Materials in compliance with SMAQMD Rule 450 (see Comments below).
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
NOx	Standard:	N/A
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
SOx	Standard:	N/A
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
PM10	Standard:	N/A
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
PM2.5	Standard:	N/A
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
СО	Standard:	N/A
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
LEAD	Standard:	N/A
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: Sources emitting < 4,697 lbs uncontrolled VOC per year -- Use of materials compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450 - Graphic

Sources emitting ≥ 4,697 lbs uncontrolled VOC per year -- Use of materials compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450 - Graphic Arts and a VOC control device that has an overall system efficiency (collection and destruction) of at least 98.5% for VOC.

Phone No.: (916) 874 - 4838 **District Contact:** Joe Carle email: jcarle@airquality.org



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

	DETERMINATION NO.:	138
	DATE:	December 14, 2016
	ENGINEER:	Joe Carle
Category/General Equip Description:	Printing Process	
Equipment Specific Description:	Printing Press Non-Heatset Lith	ographic Offset
Equipment Size/Rating:	Minor Source BACT	
Previous BACT Det. No.:	76	

This BACT determination will update Determination #76 for lithographic offset printing presses that are non-heatset.

BACT ANALYSIS

A: ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a)

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT for lithographic offset printing presses that are non-heatset by the following air pollution control districts:

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	BACT Source: E	EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse		
	VOC	N/A – No BACT determinations found for non-heatset lithographic offset printing		
	NOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found for non-heatset lithographic offset printing		
	SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found for non-heatset lithographic offset printing		
US EPA	PM10	N/A – No BACT determinations found for non-heatset lithographic offset printing		
	PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found for non-heatset lithographic offset printing		
	СО	N/A – No BACT determinations found for non-heatset lithographic offset printing		
	T-BACT			
	There are	e no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category		
	RULE RE None	EQUIREMENTS:		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	Note: All years old		the ARB BACT Clearinghouse are at least 10
ARB BACT Clearinghouse* VOC			he most stringent Achieved in Practice BACT house based on the control description. The which the BACT determination was created er dates than others.
SMAQMD	Lithographic printing press; all sizes VOC Low VOC inks, coatings, fountain solutions compliant with Rule 450 NOx No standard SOx No standard PM10 No standard PM2.5 No standard CO No standard T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. RULE REQUIREMENTS: Rule 450 – Graphic Arts Operations (10/23/2008)		
	Deinting	MATERIAL TYPE	VOC CONTENT g/l (lb/gal) Less water and exempt compounds
	Printing		300 (2.5)
	Adhesiv		150 (1.25)
	Coating		300 (2.5)

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requir VOC Content for Fountain Solution Materials:	ements			
	MATERIAL TYPE	VOC Content Limits Including Water And Exemp Compounds % By Weight			
	Coldset Web Offset Lithography				
	Fountain Solutions Containing Alcohol 1. Chilled Using Refrigerated Chiller 2. Non-Chilled	Shall not be used Shall not be used			
	Fountain Solutions Containing No Alcohol 1. Chilled Using Refrigerated Chiller 2. Non-Chilled	5 5			
	Sheet-fed Offset Lithography with maximum inches or total solution reservoir greater than	_			
	Fountain Solutions Containing Alcohol 1. Chilled Using Refrigerated Chiller 2. Non-Chilled	8.5 5			
	Fountain Solutions Containing No Alcohol 1. Chilled Using Refrigerated Chiller 2. Non-Chilled	5 5			
	All Other Presses (includes offset lithographi Rule 450, Section 110.9)	c presses exempt pursuant to			
	Chilled Using Refrigerated Chiller Non-Chilled	10 8			
	VOC Content for Solvent Cleaning Materials:				
	MATERIAL TYPE	VOC Content g/I (Ib/gal) Including Water and Exempt Compounds			
	General (e.g., maintenance, repair, solvent, wipe) Cleaning	25 (0.21)			
	Application Equipment Cleaning				
	General (not specifically listed below)	25 (0.21)			
	Lithographic and Letter Press Printing On-Press Components Removable Press Components	100 (0.83) 25 (0.21)			

District/Agency	Best Availab	ole Control Technology (BACT)/F	Requirements		
	BACT Source: SCAQMD BACT Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities, page 98. Lithograph or Offset, Non-heatset VOC Low VOC fountain solution (≤ 8% by volume VOC); Low vapor pressure (≤ 10 mm Hg VOC composite partial pressure) or low VOC (≤ 100 g/l) blanket and roller washes; oil-based or UV-curable inks; and compliance with AQMD rules 1130 and 1171 (7-14-2006) NOx No standard SOx No standard PM10 No standard PM2.5 No standard CO No standard T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. RULE REQUIREMENTS: Reg XI, Rule 1130 – Graphic Arts (5/2/2014)				
	Graphic Art Material VOC CONTENT g/l Less water and exempt compou				
	Adhesive			150	
South Coast	Coating			300	
AQMD	j j				
	Offset Lithographic Ink 300				
	Fountain Solution		VOC Content Limits Grams of VOC Per Liter of Material		
	Sheet-Fed				
	Using Alcohol without Refrigerated Chiller		50		
	Using Alcohol with Refrigerated Chiller		85		
	Using Alcohol Substitute			50	
	Non-Heatset Web-Fed				
	Using Alcohol Substitute without Refrigerated Chiller			50	
	An emission	n control device must have a collection system must have a	control efficien	•	
	Reg XI, Rule	e 1171 – Solvent Cleaning Ope	erations (5/1/20	09)	

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	Solvent Cleaning Activity	VOC g/l (lb/gal)		
	Cleaning of Coatings or Adhesives Application Equipment	25 (0.21)		
	Cleaning of Ink Application Equipment			
	General 25 (0.21)			
	Lithographic (Offset) of Letter Press Printing Roller Wash, Blanket Wash, & On-Press Components 100 (0.83)			
	Removable Press Components 25 (0.21)			
	Ultraviolet Ink/ Electron Beam Ink Application Equipment 10			
	BACT			
	Source: NSR Requirements for BACT, page 3-14.			
San Diego County APCD	Graphic Arts Operations (< 5 tons/year) VOC 1. Use of low VOC fountain solution (< 6% VOC by volume 2. Capture & recycle blanket and roller tray wash, 3. Use of cleanup solvent which has either less than 200 g pressure of less than 5 mm HG at 20°C, 4. Use of metering roll cleanup solvent which has either less VOC/I or vapor pressure less than 10 mm HG at 20°C, a 5. Use of inks which have a VOC content of less than 300 g NOX No standard PM10 No standard PM2.5 No standard CO No standard T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for the standard published published in the clearinghouse for the standard published pub	VOC/I or vapor ss than 100 g and g/I (2.5 lb/gal)		
	RULE REQUIREMENTS: Regulation 4, Rule 67.16 – Graphic Arts Operations (11/9/2011)			
	- Graphic arts materials, except adhesives, must contain < 300 g VC	OC/I (2.5 lb/gal)		
	- Adhesives containing not more than 150 grams of VOC per liter (1.25 lb/gal), as applied, less water and less exempt compounds			
	- Fountain solutions must contain no more than 5% VOC by volume			
	- Fountain solutions refrigerated to a temperature below 60 °F must than 8.5% VOC by volume	contain no more		
	- Cleaning material must have a VOC content less than 100 g/l or the pressure of the cleaning material is 5mm of Hg at 20°C or less.	e total VOC vapor		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	- Control devices must have a capture and control efficiency of 85% by mass.			
	BACT Source: BAAQMD BACT Guidelines, Document #110.2.1, Rev. 4, 8/24/98 Lithographic or Offset Printing – Non-Heatset VOC Achieved in Practice: Low VOC fountain solution (≤ 8% by vol.); and minimum possible VOC blanket was and roller and tray washes; and cleanup solvents w/ ≤ 7.5 lb VOC/gal and VOC vapor pressure ≤ 25 mm Hg or 30% by volume VOC; and kerosene-like oil based inks. Technologically Feasible/Cost Effective: Low VOC fountain solution (≤6% by vol.); and automatic blanket and roller was with solvent capture and recycle; and cleanup solvents with ≤2.5 lb VOC/gal or VOC vapor pressure ≤5 mm Hg; and kerosene-like oil based inks. If cost-effective, capture and vent VOC to afterburner or carbon adsorption system with ≥98.5 destruction/recovery device efficiency; or VOC outlet ≤10 ppmv. NOx No standard PM10 No standard PM2.5 No standard CO No standard RULE REQUIREMENTS:			
Bay Area AQMD	Reg 8, Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printing an	Produ grams VOC per l	ct Limit liter of product as	
	Product	solvent	ater and exempt (lbs/gal) than:	
	Ink		(2.5)	
	Coating		(2.5)	
	Adhesive		(1.25)	
	Web Splicing Adhesive		(2.5)	
	Fountain Solution must be 8% VOC by vol Cleaning Product Limits:		(2.0)	
	Equipment		VOC g/l (lb/gal) including water	
	For Press Equipment, except Other Pres	se Parte	moraamg mater	
	Specialty Lithographic Press	,, i uito	100 (0.83)	
		Automatia Mashir =		
	Lithographic Press, by either Manual or	Automatic wasning	100 (0.83)	
	Adhesive Application Equipment		25 (0.21)	
	Ultraviolet Ink Removal, Any Press Type	9	100 (0.83)	
	Other Press Parts		25 (0.21)	
Emission control systems must have an overall efficiency of 75% basis.		75% on a mass		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	BACT Source: SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline Lithographic or Offset Printing – Non-Heatset VOC Using materials with the following VOC contents: Inks: less than 5% VOC by weight (less water and exempt compounds) or less than 30% VOC by weight (less water and exempt compounds) for high end graphics Fountain Solutions: less than 5% by volume for coldest web offset lithographic, less than 5% by volume for sheet-fed offset lithographic with maximum sheet size greater than 11x17 inches, and less than 8% by volume for high end graphics NOX No standard SOX No standard PM10 No standard PM2.5 No standard CO No standard RULE REQUIREMENTS:			
	Rule 4607 – Graphic Arts and Paper, Film, Foil and Fabric Coatings (12/18/2008) VOC content limits for inks, coatings, and adhesives			
San Joaquin Valley APCD	100 00.	Material	Gra	ms of VOC per liter (lb/gal), less ter and exempt compounds, as applied
	Inks			300 (2.5)
	Coatings Adhesives			300 (2.5)
	Adriesiv	es		150 (1.25)
	VOC cor	ntent limits for fountain solution		
		Fountain Solution		Percent VOC by Volume
	Coldset	Web Offset Lithographic		5.0
		ed Offset Lithographic with m Sheet Size greater than 11 X 17		5.0
	All Othe	r Presses		8.0
		ntent limits for solvent cleaning upe of Solvent Cleaning Operation		Limit Grams of VOC/Liter of Material (lb/gal)
	or Surfa	Cleaning During Manufacturing Proc ice Preparation for Coating, Ink, on re Application	ess;	25 (0.21)

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	Repair and Maintenance Cleaning	25 (0.21)	
	Cleaning of Coating or Adhesive Application Equipment	25 (0.21)	
	Cleaning of Ink Application Equipment		
	General	25 (0.21)	
	Lithographic (Offset) or Letterpress Printing		
	Roller Wash – Step 1	100 (0.83)	
	Roller Wash – Step 2; Roller Wash – not specified; Blanket Wash, and On-Press Components	100 (0.83)	
	Removable Press Components	25 (0.21)	
	Ultraviolet Ink/Electron Beam Ink Application Equipment (except screen printing)	100 (0.83)	
	Lithographic offset non-heatset printing presses have an overall capture and control efficiency of		

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
voc	 Use of materials compliant with SCAQMD Rule 1130 and 1171, SMAQMD Rule 450, or SJVUAPCD Rule 4607. – [SCAQMD, SMAQMD, SJVUAPCD] Use of materials compliant with SDCAPCD Rule 67.16. – [SDCAPCD] Use of materials compliant with BAAQMD Regulation 8 Rule 20. – [BAAQMD]
NOx	1. No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
SOx	1. No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
PM10	1. No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
PM2.5	1. No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
CO	1. No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]

Emission limits for inks, coatings, and solvent cleaning are consistent across SCAQMD Rule 1130 and 1171, SMAQMD Rule 450, and SJVUAPCD Rule 4607. Emission limits for fountain solutions vary from rule to rule mostly based on how the emission limit is expressed. SCAQMD lists emission limits for fountain solutions in grams per liter while SMAQMD expresses the limit in percent VOC by mass, and SJVUAPCD expresses the limits in percent VOC by volume. It is difficult to compare these limits because percent by mass and volume can vary from solution to solution depending upon its physical properties.

The EPA Control Techniques Guidelines for Offset Lithographic Printing and Letter Press Printing (CTG) lists recommends emission standards as percent mass. The SMAQMD Rule also lists these identical standards as a percent mass. SCAQMD updated their emission limits for fountain solutions in 2014. In their staff report for these amendments they state that their emission limits are equivalent to those of the CTG except they have converted the limits to grams per liter to remain consistent with the previous emission limits for fountain solutions. Additionally, in 2008 amendments were made to SJVUAPCD Rule 4607, according to the associated staff report, to align the emission standards to that of other districts and the CTG.

Even though the emission limits for fountain solutions differ in the way they are expressed between the SCAQMD, SMAQMD, and SJVUAPCD all districts claim to be equivalent to the recommendations in the CTG. In order to remain consistent with the CTG and SMAQMD Rule 450 for fountain solutions will be expressed on a percent mass basis.

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

	BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED				
Pollutant	Standard	Source			
VOC	Use of materials compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450 – Graphic Arts.	SMAQMD (Rule 450)			
NOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD			
SOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD			
PM10	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD			
PM2.5	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD			
СО	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD			

B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a.

VOC	1. VOC control device with 98.5% Overall System Efficiency
NOx	N/A
SOx	N/A
PM10	N/A
PM2.5	N/A
CO	N/A

Cost Effective Determination:

After identifying the technologically feasible control options, a cost analysis is performed to take into consideration economic impacts for all technologically feasible controls identified.

Maximum Cost per Ton of Air Pollutants Controlled

1. A control technology is considered to be cost-effective if the cost of controlling one ton of that air pollutant is less than the limits specified below (except coating operations):

Pollutant	Maximum Cost (\$/ton)
ROG	17,500
NO_X	24,500
PM ₁₀	11,400
SO_X	18,300
CO	TBD if BACT triggered

Cost Effectiveness Analysis Summary

<u>Background</u>: Current District BACT analysis for lithographic printing operations assumed pressroom emissions similar to that of VOC emissions in spray coating operations. Top down BACT analyses performed by the District recently for control technologies using VOC incineration and carbon adsorption in coating operations resulted in a cost effectiveness level of 4,663 lb or less per year. This was reported in the District's BACT Determinations No. 118 & 119.

The objective of this BACT determination is to verify the assumption that VOC emissions in graphic arts printing operation is similar to spray coating operation. Lithographic printing operation will be reviewed by using the pressroom as the emission source and updating the cost inputs in accordance with the EPA OAQPS Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (Third Edition).

Basic assumptions:

- 1) Single 4-color lithographic printing press operation.
- 2) Press room dimensions: 40'W x 60'L x 20'H (because the press room is relatively small a hood is not necessary)
- 3) The press room is assumed to be the enclosure with an overall system efficiency of 98.5% and venting to the control device through a general ventilation system.
- 4) General ventilation with an 8,000 CFM blower (10 air changes per hour).
- 5) Cost calculations and assumptions are based on the EPA Air Pollution Control Cost Manual.

Carbon Adsorption System

Equipment Life = 10 years

Total Capital Investment = \$114,290

Annualized Total Capital Investment = \$17,417 per year

Direct Annual Cost = \$15,696 per year

Indirect Annual Cost = \$7,377per year

Total Annual Cost = \$\$40,491 per year

VOC Removed = 2.31 tons per year

Cost of VOC Removal = \$17,505.18 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for VOC removal with a carbon absorber is shown in Appendix B. Uncontrolled VOC emissions of 4,697 lb/year or greater is the cost-effective threshold for control equipment using carbon absorption control technology

Thermal Oxidizer:

Equipment Life = 10 years

Total Capital Investment = \$401,329

Annualized Total Capital Investment = \$76,839 per year

Direct Annual Cost = \$173,047 per year

Indirect Annual Cost = \$24,228 per year

Total Annual Cost = \$274,114per year

VOC Removed = 15.66 tons per year

Cost of VOC Removal = \$17,500.00 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for VOC removal with a thermal oxidizer is shown in Appendix C. Uncontrolled VOC emissions of 31,797 lb/year or greater is the cost-effective threshold for control equipment using thermal oxidation control technology.

<u>Conclusion</u>: In this analysis, different emission operating levels are presented with the corresponding total cost per ton of VOC controlled using either a carbon adsorption control or a thermal oxidizer. Uncontrolled VOC emission level of 4,697 lb per year or greater must be reached in order for the carbon absorption control option to be cost effective. Uncontrolled VOC emission level of 31,797 lb per year or greater must be reached in order for a thermal oxidizer to be cost effective. The emissions levels for the cost effectiveness of controls is based on the District cost effective limit for ROC of \$17,500 per ton controlled.

C. SELECTION OF BACT:

BACT FOR LITHOGRAPHIC OFFSET PRINTING PRESSES THAT ARE NON-HEATSET EMITTING < 4,697 LBS UNCONTROLLED VOC PER YEAR							
Pollutant	Pollutant Standard Source						
VOC	Use of materials compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450 – Graphic Arts.	SMAQMD (Rule 450)					
NOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD					
SOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD					
PM10	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD					

BACT Determination Printing Press Non-Heatset Lithographic Offset December 14, 2016 Page 12 of 12

BACT F	BACT FOR LITHOGRAPHIC OFFSET PRINTING PRESSES THAT ARE NON-HEATSET EMITTING < 4,697 LBS UNCONTROLLED VOC PER YEAR						
Pollutant	Standard Source						
PM2.5	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD					
СО	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD					

BACT FOR LITHOGRAPHIC OFFSET PRINTING PRESSES THAT ARE NON-HEATSET EMITTING ≥ 4,697 LBS UNCONTROLLED VOC PER YEAR						
Pollutant	Standard	Source				
VOC	Use of materials compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450 – Graphic Arts and a VOC control device that has an overall system efficiency (collection and destruction) of at least 98.5% for VOC.	SMAQMD (Rule 450) BAAQMD (BACT Guideline)				
NOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD				
SOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD				
PM10	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD				
PM2.5	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD				
СО	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD				

D. SELECTION OF T-BACT

I	For t	his	cated	orv o	f equipment	Т	-B/	1 CT	⁻ will	b	e d	eterm	ined	on	а	case	hv	case	hasi	S
•			Outo	10190	i ogaipinom		$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	101	****		u		11100	011	u	Just	\sim $^{\circ}$	Just	, au	v.

DATE:				
1/13/17				
_	1/13/17			

Attachment A

Review of BACT Determinations published by ARB

List of BACT determinations published in ARB's BACT Clearinghouse for lithographic offset printing-non-heatset

Source	Date	Equipment Description/ Capacity	VOC Limit Control Description					
SCAQMD	3/16/2000	Air dry; 12 printing units, 2,000 hp total press drive	309 lb/day	VOC of fountain solution not to exceed 0.17 lb/gal and lnk VOC ≤ 300 g/L.				
SCAQMD	12/1/2000	Sheet fed, IR dried; 6-color, 40" sheet width, 21 IR lamps; 127 hp total press drive, 15,000 sheets/hr max	2730 lb/month	Fountain solution < 0.2 lb/gal				
SCAQMD	8/19/1999	Sheet fed, single color; 40" sheet width	667 lb/month	Fountain solution < 8% by volume				
SCAQMD (A)	2/2/2000	Offset lid printer (dry lithographic); 6 color printing units,15 inch sheet width w/a.3 kw UV	240 lb/month	UV curable inks and wash solutions in compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1171				

⁽A) Noted in the clearinghouse as "Not yet a BACT Determination-Considered as AIP"

= Selected as the most stringent BACT determination achieved in practice.

Attachment B

Cost Effectiveness Analysis for Carbon Adsorption

COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS FOR CARBON ADSORPTION

This cost effectiveness analysis was performed using EPA's OAQPS Control Cost Manual EPA publication no. 452/B-02-001

Lithographic Offset Non-Heatset

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION: Printing Press

VOC Parameters

VOC of concern (using the physical properties of toluine)	Various
Cost of pure VOC (\$/ton)	100
Molecular weight of VOC	92.13
Emission rate (lbs/hr - inlet)	1.13
Inlet concentration (ppm)	10
k factor	0.551
m factor	0.11
Partial pressure (psi)	0.00014936

Gas Parameters

Total gas flow rate (acfm - inlet)	8,000
Total gas pressure (psi - inlet)	14.7

Equipment Parameters

Removal efficiency (%)	98.5%
Adsorption time (hours)	16
Desorption time (hours)	8
Carbon Beds	1
Equipment life (years)	10

Operating Parameters

Hours per day	16
Days per week	5
Weeks per year	52

Carbon Requirements

Carbon working capacity (lb VOC/lb	(k factor)*((partial pressure)^(m	
carbon)	factor))/2	0.105
	(Emission Rate)*(hrs/day)/(Carbon	
Amount of carbon needed (lbs)	Working Capacity)	173
	(\$1/lb carbon)*(lbs of carbon	
Carbon cost	needed)	\$173
Carbon life (years)		5

Adsorber Vessel Dimension and Cost

Superficial bed velocity (ft/min) Diameter of each vessel (ft) Length of each vessel (ft) Surface area (sq. ft) Fm factor (see Control Cost Manual, p 4-24) Cost per vessel Adsorber Equipment Cost Direct Costs: Purchased Equipment Cost		75 0.21 518 335 1.3 \$32,463.63 \$57,479.71
	To be a second by a second condition.	
Adsorber and auxiliary equipment	To be conservative assume auxiliary costs = \$0	\$57,479.71
Instrumentation	1% of equipment cost	\$5,747.97
Sales taxes	8.5% of equipment cost	\$4,885.78
Freight	5% of equipment cost	\$2,873.99
Total Purchased Equipment Cost	on equipment seed	\$70,987.44
Direct installation costs		
Foundations & supports	8% of total equipment cost	\$5,679.00
Handling & erection	14% of total equipment cost	\$9,938.24
Electrical	4% of total equipment cost	\$2,839.50
Piping	2% of total equipment cost	\$1,419.75
Insulation	1% of total equipment cost	\$709.87
Painting Direct installation costs	1% of total equipment cost	\$709.87 \$21,206,22
Direct installation costs		\$21,296.23
Total Direct Cost	Total equipment cost + Direct installation costs	\$92,283.67
Indirect Costs:		
Indirect Costs (installation)		
Engineering	10% of total equpment cost	\$7,098.74
Construction and field expenses	5% of total equipment cost	\$3,549.37
Contractor fees	10% of total equpment cost	\$7,098.74
Start-up	2% of total equipment cost	\$1,419.75
Performance test	1% of total equipment cost	\$709.87
Contingencies	3% of total equipment cost	\$2,129.62
Total Indirect Costs		\$22,006.11
Total Capital Investment	total direct cost + total indirect costs	\$114,289.78
Interest Rate		0.05
Equipment Life (years)		10
		,

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)		0.1295
Capital recovery cost	(total capital investment)*(CRF)	\$14,801.05
Capital Recovery Inflation adjustment	(capital recovery cost)*[(1+0.0275)^6]	\$17,417.41
Direct Annual Costs		
Operator wage (\$/hr)		15.64
Maintenance wage (\$/hr)		17.21
operator hour (hrs/shift)		0.5
shifts per day (shift/day)		2
days of work per year (days/year)		260
Operator labor		
	(labor wage)*(hours/shift)*	
Operator	(shifts/day)*(days/year)	\$4,066.40
Supervisor	15% of operator labor	\$609.96
Maintenance		
	(labor	
	wage)*(hours/shift)*(shifts/day)*(da	
Maintenance labor	ys/year)	\$4,474.60
Materials	100% of maintenance labor	\$4,474.60
Utilities		
System Fan (kWh/yr)	Refer to EPA cost manual	7340
Bed drying/cooling fan (kWh/yr)	Refer to EPA cost manual	4
Cooling water pump (kWh/yr)	Refer to EPA cost manual	28
Total Power Used (kWh/yr)		7372
	0.13 \$/kWh = SMUD Medium General Service Summer On-Peak	
Electricity Cost	Rate	\$958.33
Steam Cost		\$957.57
Cooling water		\$109.48
Carbon Replacement		
Interest Rate		0.05
Carbon Life (yrs)		5
Capital Recovery Factor		0.2310
Replacement Labor	CRF*\$0.05/lb*carbon needed	\$2.00
Carbon Cost	CRF*initial carbon cost*1.0875	\$43.40

Total Direct Annual Costs		\$15,696.34
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead	60% of maintenance labor and materials	\$2,805.82
Administrative Charges	2% of Total Capital Investment	\$2,285.80
Property Tax	1% of Total Capital Investment	\$1,142.90
Insurance	1% of Total Capital Investment	\$1,142.90
Total Indirect Annual Costs	·	\$7,377.41
	CRC and Inflation Total Capital Investment + Total Direct Annual	
Total Annual Costs	Costs + Total Indirect Annual Costs	\$40,491.15
Tons VOC reduced	(Emission Rate)*(reduction efficiency)*(hrs/yr)/2000	2.31
	(Total Annual Costs)/(Tons VOC	
Cost of VOC Removal	Controlled)	\$17,505.18

Attachment C

Cost Effectiveness Analysis for Thermal Oxidizers

COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS FOR THERMAL INCINERATION

This cost effectiveness analysis was performed using EPA's OAQPS Control Cost Manual EPA publication no. 452/B-02-001

Lithographic Offset Non-Heatset Printing

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

VOC Parameters	
VOC of concern (Using the physical properties of toluine)	Various
Molecular weight of VOC	92.13
Heat of combustion (Btu/lb)	17,601
Heating value of VOC (Btu/scf)	4,074
Emission rate (lbs/hr - inlet)	7.6
Inlet concentration (ppm)	66
Gas Parameters	
Total gas flow rate (scfm - inlet)	8000
Total gas pressure (psi - inlet)	14.7
Inlet gas temperature (deg F)	71
Familian and Barranadana	
Equipment Parameters Level of energy recovery (0%, 35%, 50% or 70%)	70%
Control efficiency (%)	98.5%
Equipment life (years)	10
Operating Parameters	
Hours per day	16 5
Days per week Weeks per year	52
Shifts per day	2
Incinerator Parameters	
Volumetric heat of combustion of effluent (Btu/scf) Heat of combustion per pound of effluent (Btu/lb)	0.27 3.67
Temperature Required for incineration (deg F)	1,500.00
Gas temperature at exit of pre-heater (deg F)	1,071.30
Effluent gas temperature (deg F)	499.7
Electricity Usage	#0.40
Price of electricity (\$/kWh) System fan (kWh/yr)	\$0.13 123,302.40
Total Power Used (kWh/yr)	123,302.40
. 3.3.1 3.13. 3334 (1.1111/1)	120,002.40
Gas Usage	
Price of gas (\$/1000 cu.ft.)	\$3.30

CAPITAL COST

	CAFITAL COST	
Direct Costs:		
Incinerator		\$201,840
	t (if not included above)	\$0
Auxiliary equipment (if not included above) Equipment Cost (A)		\$201,840
Instrumentation (0.	1A if not included above)	\$20,184
Sales taxes (0.085)	A)	\$17,156
Freight (0.05A)		\$10,092
	Total Equipment Cost (B)	\$249,273
Direct Installation Cos	ts:	
Foundation & Supp	ports (0.08B)	\$19,942
Handling & erection	n (0.14B)	\$34,898
Electrical (0.04B)		\$9,971
Piping (0.02B)		\$4,985
Insulation for duct v	work (0.01B)	\$2,493
Painting (0.01B)		\$2,493
- · · · · ·	Direct Installation Cost	\$74,782
Site preparation Facilities & building	gs	\$0 \$0
	Total Direct Costs	\$324,054
Indirect Costs (installa	ition)	
Engineering (0.10B	3)	\$24,927
Construction & field	d expenses (0.05B)	\$12,464
Contractor fees (0.	10B)	\$24,927
Start-up (0.02B)		\$4,985
Performance test (0.01B)		\$2,493
Contingencies (0.0	3B)	\$7,478
	Total Indirect Costs	\$77,275
TOTAL CAPITAL I	INVESTMENT	\$401,329
	ANNUAL COST	
	ARTOAL OOOT	
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Cost		
	Operator (@ \$15.64/hr & .5 hr per shift)	\$4,066.40
	Supervisor (15% of operator)	\$609.96

	Operating materials	\$0.00
Maintenance	Labor (@17.21/hr & .5 hr per shift) Material (same as labor)	\$4,474.60 \$4,474.60
Utilities		
	Price of electricity (\$/kWh)	\$0.13
	Price of gas (\$/1000 cu.ft.)	\$3.30
	Electricity (\$/yr)	\$16,029.31
	Natural Gas (\$/yr)	\$143,328.44
	Total Direct Costs	\$172,983.32
Indirect Annual Co	osts	
Overhead		\$8,175.34
Administrative	charges	\$8,026.58
Property taxes		\$4,013.29
Insurance		\$4,013.29
Interest rate (%	•	5%
Equipment life	(years)	10
CRF		0.1627
Capital recover	•	\$65,296.21 \$76,838.52
Capital Recov	Capital Recovery Inflation Adjustment	
	Total Indirect Costs	\$101,067.01
TOTAL ANNUA	AL COST	\$274,050.33
TOTAL ANNUA	AL COST	\$274,050.55

Annual Cost

(\$/yr) \$274,050.33

Annual Emissions

Reductions (tons/yr) 15.66

(annual emissions based on BACT determination

limit for add-on controls)

COST PER TON OF VOCs REDUCED (\$/ton) \$17,500.00